



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,259	10/25/2001	Christopher M. Jakubiec	2070.004400/P6601	2741
759	90 02/16/2006		EXAM	INER
B. Noel Kivlin		BHATIA, AJAY M		
Meyertons, Hoo	d, Kivlin, Kowert & Goet	zel, P.C.		
P.O. Box 398	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78767-0398			2145	
			DATE MAILED: 02/16/200	4

DATE MAILED: 02/16/200

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination  JAKUBIEC, CHRISTOPHER: M.	
	Jason D. Cardone	Art Unit	
Document Code - AP.PRE.I		2770	

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Thi

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief F	Request for Review filed 2/2/06.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request reason(s):</li> </ol>	t is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not include re	een filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. asons why a review is appropriate. ded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	ntinues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from cation, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under application required to submit an appeal brief in a brief will be reset to be one month from running from the receipt of the notice of	reals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been been because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
<ul> <li>☑ The panel has determined the Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u>.</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u>.</li> <li>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-43</u>.</li> <li>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration</li> </ul>	
	rence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of n the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action	ence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
(1) Jason D. Cardone (SPE).	(3) Zarni Maung (Conferee SPE).
(2) Ajay Bhatia. JASON CAF	RDONE (4)